arXiv:1411.4750v3 [math.PR] 15 Jan 2016

(January 18, 2016)

Convergence rates of maximal deviation distribution for projection estimates of Lévy densities

Valentin Konakov and Vladimir Panov

Laboratory of Stochastic Analysis and its Applications
National Research University Higher School of Economics
Shabolovka 31, building G, 115162 Moscow, Russia
e-mail: VKonakov@hse.ru; VPanov@hse.ru

Abstract: In this paper, we consider projection estimates for Lévy densities in high-frequency setup. We give a unified treatment for different sets of basis functions and focus on the asymptotic properties of the maximal deviation distribution for these estimates. Our results are based on the idea to reformulate the problems in terms of Gaussian processes of some special type and to further analyze these Gaussian processes. In particular, we construct a sequence of excursion sets, which guarantees the convergence of the deviation distribution to the Gumbel distribution. We show that the rates of convergence presented in previous articles on this topic are logarithmic and construct the sequences of accompanying laws, which approximate the deviation distribution with polynomial rate.

MSC 2010 subject classifications: Primary 60G51, 62M99; secondary 62G05.
Keywords and phrases: Lévy density, maximal deviation, nonparametric inference, projection estimates.

Contents

1 Introduction . . . 2
2 Set-up . . . 4
3 Main results . . . 6
4 Stationary case . . . 12
4.1 Trigonometric basis . . . 12
4.2 The Pickands theorem . . . 13
4.3 Asymptotic behavior of $\tau(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ . . . 16
4.4 Sequence of accompanying laws . . . 18
4.5 Taylor expansions for accompanying laws . . . 20
5 Legendre polynomials . . . 21
5.1 Properties of Legendre polynomials . . . 22
5.2 Covariance function of the process $\Upsilon(x)$ . . . 23
5.3 Local homogeneity . . . 24
5.4 Global Hölder condition . . . 26
5.5 Concluding remarks . . . 27
6 Wavelets . . . 27

7 Discussion 28
A Some proofs 28
A.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1 28
A.2 Proof of Corollary 3.4 35
A.3 Proof of Theorem 3.5 38
A.4 Proof of Theorem 3.6 39
References 40

1. Introduction

Consider a one-dimensional Lévy process X_t with Lévy triplet (μ, σ, ν). Assume that measure ν has density $s(\cdot)$, known as Lévy density, that is,

$$\nu(B) = \int_B s(u)du, \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

Assuming that some discrete equidistant observations $X_0, X_\Delta, ..., X_{n\Delta}$ of the process X_t are available, it is natural to ask how one can statistically infer on the Lévy density $s(\cdot)$, or more generally speaking, on the Lévy measure ν. The answer to this question highly depends on the type of the available data. The first situation, known as *high-frequency setup*, is based on the assumption that the time distance between the observations $\Delta = \Delta_n$ depends on n and tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, very often (and in this paper) it is also assumed that the time horizon $T = n\Delta_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Non-parametric inference for this case has been considered by Comte and Genon-Catalot (2013), Figueroa-López (2011), Figueroa-López and Houdré (2006). The second situation, the so-called *low-frequency setup*, in which Δ is fixed, has been extensively studied by Nickl and Reiss (2012), Gugushvili (2012), Belomestny (2011), Comte and Genon-Catalot (2010b), Chen, Dalaigle and Hall (2010), Neumann and Reiss (2009), van Es, Gugushvili and Spreij (2007). The essential idea in almost all papers mentioned above is to express the Lévy measure in terms of the characteristic function of X_t and then replace this characteristic function by its natural non-parametric estimator. For instance, applying the Lévy-Khintchine formula for the characteristic function $\phi_{X_t}(u) = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}uX_t\right\}\right]$,

$$\phi_{X_t}(u) = \exp\left\{ t\left(\mathrm{i}\mu u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(e^{\mathrm{i}ux} - 1 - \mathrm{i}ux \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\{|x|\le 1\}}\right)\nu(dx)\right)\right\}$$

to the compound Poisson process without a drift (that is, $\mu = \sigma = 0$, and Lévy messure is finite, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(du) < \infty$), we get that the Fourier transform of the function $x \cdot s(x)$, is equal to

$$\mathcal{F}_{x\cdot s(x)}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}ux} x s(x) dx = -i\frac{\phi'_{X_\Delta}(u)}{\Delta\phi_{X_\Delta}(u)}.$$

Substituting into the last formula natural estimator for the characteristic function $\hat{\phi}_{X_\Delta}(u) := n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^n \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}u\left(X_{k\Delta} - X_{(k-1)\Delta}\right)\right\}$ instead of $\phi_{X_\Delta}(u)$, and

avoiding the situation when $\hat{\phi}_{X_\Delta}(u) = 0$ by multiplying the fraction by the indicator function $\mathbb{I}\{|\hat{\phi}_{X_\Delta}(u)| > A\}$ with some A, we finally get that the function

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{x\cdot s(x)}(u) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(X_{k\Delta} - X_{(k-1)\Delta}\right) e^{iu\left(X_{k\Delta} - X_{(k-1)\Delta}\right)}}{\Delta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{iu\left(X_{k\Delta} - X_{(k-1)\Delta}\right)}} \mathbb{I}\{|\hat{\phi}_{X_\Delta}(u)| > A\}$$

is a reasonable estimator for $\mathcal{F}_{x\cdot s(x)}(u)$, and can be further used for statistical inference on $s(u)$. Similar ideas are widely used in papers on non-parametric inference, but we focus on some other approaches in the current research.

It is a worth mentioning that in most papers on this topic, the quality of proposed estimator for $s(\cdot)$ is measured in terms of quadratic risk. More precisely, for a fixed estimate $\hat{s}_n^\circ(x)$, a collection of Lévy processes $\mathcal{T}$ and a window $D = [a, b] \subset I\!R/\{0\}$, it is common to prove two statements, which present upper and lower bounds for the difference between $\hat{s}_n^\circ(x)$ and the true density function $s(x)$. These two statements are usually formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{s}_n^\circ(x) - s(x)\right)^2 &\lesssim f(n), \qquad \forall x \in D, \\ \inf_{\{\hat{s}_n(x)\}} \sup_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x)\right)^2 &\gtrsim g(n), \qquad \forall x \in D, \end{aligned}$$

where by $\{\hat{s}_n(x)\}$ we denote the set of all estimates of the Lévy density $s(x)$, and $f(n), g(n)$ are two functions tending to 0 as $n \to \infty$. If $f(n) \approx g(n)$, it is usually claimed that the estimate $\hat{s}_n^\circ(x)$ posseses the optimality property.

In this research, we focus on another aim - we analyze the maximal deviation distribution of the projection estimator $\hat{s}_n(x)$ defined below by (7). More precisely, we are interested in the asymptotic properties of the distribution function of

$$\mathscr{D}_n := \sup_{x \in D} \left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}} \right). \tag{1}$$

To the best of our knowledge, the unique research in this direction is provided by Figueroa-López (2011), who considered the maximal deviation distribution for projection estimates to the space spanned by Legendre polynomials of orders 0 and 1. We emphasize the main differences between our paper and the paper by Figueroa-López (2011) later in Section 7. For the moment, let us only mention that our setup covers more general classes of estimates - in particular, we provide the proof for Legendre polynomials of any order, as well as for trigonometric basis and wavelets.

One of the main sources of our inspiration is the paper by Konakov and Piterbarg (1984), where the asymptotics of the maximal deviation distribution is proven for the kernel estimates of regression functions. Konakov and Piterbarg (1984) showed that the convergence to asymptotic distribution given in Bickel and Rosenblatt (1973) is very slow (of logarithmic order) and this rate cannot be improved. Moreover, in that paper, it is obtained a sequence on accompanying laws with power rate of convergence. Nevertheless, the regression problem

completely differs from the estimation of Lévy density, and therefore we are not able to apply even the techniques from that research.

The contribution of this paper is twofold. First, we derive the asymptotic behaviour of the maximal deviation distribution for a broad class of projection estimates of the Lévy density. This result can be further applied for constructing confidence intervals and statistical tests. Second, we show that the rates of convergence given in Figueroa-López (2011) are of logarithmic order and provide the sequences of accompanying laws with power rates.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we explain our setup and our assumptions on the set of basis functions. Section 3 contains a collection of our results. Later on, in Sections 4 - 6 we prove and discuss these results separately for different choices of basis functions - trigonometric functions, Legendre polynomials and wavelets. Some further discussions of our contribution to this topic can be found in Section 7. Additional proofs are given in the Appendix.

2. Set-up

Collections of basis functions. In this paper, we follow the set-up from [9], and study the estimation of the Lévy density $s(x)$ over a window D, based on discrete observations of the process on an interval $[0,T]$. We consider a family of finite linear combinations of functions from orthonormal collection $\{\varphi_r(x) : D \to I\!R,\ r = 1..d\}$:

$$\mathscr{L} = \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{d} \beta_r \varphi_r(x), \qquad \vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_d) \in I\!R^d \right\}, \tag{2}$$

and later project the Lévy density to the space $\mathscr{L}$ in L^2 - metric. In this article, we do not restrict our attention to a particular class of collections $\{\varphi_r(x)\}$, but assume that for any $m \in I\!N$ there exists a set of normalized bounded functions $\left\{\psi_j^m : D \to I\!R\right\}_{j=0}^{J}$ supported on $[a, a+\delta)$, where $\delta = (b-a)/m$, such that

$$\left\{ \varphi_r(x),\ r = 1..d \right\} \quad = \quad \left\{ \psi_j^m \left(x - \delta(p-1)\right) I\left\{x \in I_p\right\}, j = 0..J,\ p = 1..m \right\},$$

$$\text{where} \qquad I_p := [a + \delta(p-1), a + \delta p).$$

In what follows, it is important how the basis functions $\psi_j^m(x)$ depend on m, or in other words, how these functions depend on $\delta = (b-a)/m$. Below we give an intuition about the dependence. Note that in most examples, basis on $[a, a+\delta)$ is constructed from a basis $\{\widetilde{\psi}_j(x)\}_{j=0..J}$ on some "standard" interval $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ by changing the variables:

$$\psi_j^m(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{b}-\tilde{a}}{\delta}} \cdot \widetilde{\psi}_j \left(\frac{(\tilde{b}-\tilde{a})(x-a)}{\delta} + \tilde{a} \right), \tag{3}$$

and therefore $\psi_j^m(x) = O(\sqrt{m})$ as $m \to \infty$. Some typical examples are listed below.

(i) Trigonometric basis on $[a, a+\delta)$

$$\Big\{\psi_j^m(x),\ j=0..J\Big\} \quad = \quad \Big\{\chi_0(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}},\ \chi_j(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\cos\left(2j\pi(x-a)/\delta\right),$$
$$\tilde{\chi}_j(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\sin\left(2j\pi(x-a)/\delta\right),\ j=1..(J/2)\Big\}.$$

with even J. In this case, it is natural to define the "standard" interval as $[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]=[0,2\pi]$, and basis on this interval as

$$\Big\{\widetilde{\psi}_j(x)\Big\}=\Big\{\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}},\quad \sqrt{2}\cos(jx),\quad \sqrt{2}\sin(jx)\Big\}.$$

(ii) Legendre polynomials, that is

$$\psi_j^m(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{\delta}}P_j\Big(\left((x-a-\delta)+(x-a)\right)/\delta\Big),$$

where

$$P_j(x)=\frac{1}{j!2^j}\left[\left(x^2-1\right)^j\right]^{(j)},\qquad j=0..J$$

are Legendre polynomials on $[-1,1]$. The set of orthonormal polynomials on $[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]=[-1,1]$

$$\Big\{\widetilde{\psi}_j(x)\Big\}=\Big\{\sqrt{(2j+1)/2}\cdot P_j(x),\quad j=0..J\Big\},$$

plays the role of standard basis.

(iii) Wavelets, for instance Haar wavelets

$$\psi_j^m(x)=\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}},\qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\left(I\{x\in[a+\delta/2,a+\delta]\}-I\{x\in[a,a+\delta/2]\}\right)\right\},$$

where δ is usually taken as 2^{-l} for some $l\in\mathbb{N}$. This role of standard interval is usually given to the interval $[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]=[0,1]$ supplied with two functons

$$\Big\{\widetilde{\psi}_j(x)\Big\}=\Big\{1,\quad I\{x\in[1/2,1]\}-I\{x\in[0,1/2]\Big\}.$$

To sum up, basis functions typically depend on δ as it is given by (3), where the function $\tilde{\psi}_j$ are bounded and supported on some compact $[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]$. For theoretical studies, we assume that the function $\sqrt{\delta}\psi_j^m(x)$ and its total variation are bounded by some absolute constants $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$, that is, for all j,m,

$$\sqrt{\delta}\cdot\sup_{x\in I_1}|\psi_j^m(x)|\le\mathcal{C}_1,\qquad \sqrt{\delta}\cdot V_a^{a+\delta}(\psi_j^m)\le\mathcal{C}_2, \tag{4}$$

where by $V_a^{a+\delta}(\psi_j^m)$ we denote the total variation of the function ψ_j^m,

$$V_a^{a+\delta}(\psi_j^m):=\sup_{\|P\|\to0}\sum_{i=1}^n\left|\psi_j^m(x_i)-\psi_j^m(x_{i-1})\right|,$$

P ranges over the partitions $a = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n = a + \delta$ equipped with the norm $\|P\| = \max_i |x_i - x_{i-1}|$.

Projection estimates. Consider the L^2-scalar product and L^2-norm in the space of functions $\{g : D \to I\!R\}$, and introduce the estimator $\tilde{s}(x)$ as the orthogonal projection of the funciton $s(x)$ on $\mathscr{L}$ with respect to this norm:

$$\tilde{s}(x) := \sum_{r=1}^{d} \beta_r \varphi_r(x), \tag{5}$$

where

$$\beta_r = \beta(\varphi_r) = \int_D \varphi_r(x) s(x) dx = \int_D \varphi_r(x) \tilde{s}(x) dx.$$

Returning to the statistical problem, that is, to the problem of statistical estimation of $\tilde{s}(x)$ by the equidistant observations $X_0, X_\Delta, ..., X_{n\Delta}$, we realize that the main difficulty consists in estimation of $\beta(\varphi)$ for different basis functions φ. As it was explained earlier, there exists a crucial difference in the assumptions on the design. It turns out, that in case of the low-frequency setup, this question is not well-understood in the literature. As for the high-frequency setup, estimation of $\beta(\varphi)$ has been extensively studied in [8] and [28], where it is shown that the coefficients of β_r can be estimated by

$$\hat{\beta}(\varphi_r) = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_r \left(X_\Delta^{(k)} \right), \qquad \text{where} \quad X_\Delta^{(k)} = X_{k\Delta} - X_{(k-1)\Delta}. \tag{6}$$

Next, we can plug in the estimator $\hat{\beta}(\varphi_r)$ in (5), and get that

$$\hat{s}_n(x) := \sum_{r=1}^{d} \hat{\beta}(\varphi_r) \varphi_r(x) = \frac{1}{n\Delta} \sum_{r=1}^{d} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_r \left(X_\Delta^{(k)} \right) \right] \varphi_r(x), \tag{7}$$

is a reasonable estimator for the Lévy density $s(x)$.

3. Main results

In this section, we present our results related to the projection estimator $\hat{s}(x)$ of the Lévy density $s(x)$. First note that by Corollary 8.9 from [25], we immediately get that $\hat{\beta}(\varphi_r)$ defined by (6) is a consistent estimator of $\beta(\varphi_r)$. Nevertheless, for establishing some theoretical facts, we have to introduce additional assumptions on the rate of this convergence. As in [9], we assume that the following small-time asymptotic property holds: there exists positive constants q and Δ^0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in D} \left| \frac{1}{\Delta} \mathbb{P} \{ X_\Delta \geq x \} - \nu \left([x, +\infty) \right) \right| < q\Delta, \qquad \forall\, 0 < \Delta < \Delta^0. \tag{8}$$

For instance, this property is fulfilled when s is Lipshitz in an open set containing D and uniformly bounded on $|x| > M$ for any positive M (see Proposition 2.1 from [9]).

In this paper, we consider the case of high-frequency data with $T \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, the parameter m, which indicates the number of intervals in our construction of the set of basis functions, also tends to infinity with n. With no doubt, the rates of growth m, n, T should be somehow coordinated. This can be done in different ways. Mainly for technical reasons, we assume that $T = n^{\varkappa}$ with some $\varkappa > 0$. Since in high-frequency setup $\Delta = T/n \to 0$, we get that $\varkappa < 1$. The main advantage of such choice is that our further assumptions reduce to only one more restriction on the speed of m, which is presented below by (9).

We start the analysis of the distribution of $\mathscr{D}_n$ with a technical result related the "bias" of $\mathscr{D}_n$, which we denote below by $\mathscr{Z}_n$. This result allows to reformulate the problem of finding the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution function of $\mathscr{Z}_n$ in terms of Gaussian processes.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that (8) holds. Denote*

$$\mathscr{Z}_n := \sup_{x \in D} \left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}} \right), \qquad F_n(u) := \mathbb{P}\left\{ \mathscr{Z}_n \le u \right\}.$$

Let m tend to ∞ as $n \to \infty$, and moreover $T = n^{\varkappa}$ for some $\varkappa \in (0,1)$, and

$$\Lambda_n := m \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{n^{\varkappa/2}} \to 0, \qquad as \quad n \to \infty. \tag{9}$$

Then there exist positive constants c_1, c_2, λ such that

$$F_n\Big(u\Big) \le \left(\breve{F}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{b-a}}\, u + c_1 \Lambda_n \right) \right)^m + c_2 n^{-\lambda}, \tag{10}$$

$$F_n\Big(u\Big) \ge \left(\breve{F}\left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{b-a}}\, u - c_1 \Lambda_n \right) \right)^m - c_2 n^{-\lambda}, \tag{11}$$

where by $\breve{F}(\cdot)$ we denote the distribution function of the random variable

$$\zeta = \zeta^{J,m} := \sup_{x \in [a, a+\delta)} \left| \Upsilon^{J,m}(x) \right|, \qquad \Upsilon(x) = \Upsilon^{J,m}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{J} Z_j \psi_j^m(x) \tag{12}$$

with i.i.d. standard normal r.v.'s Z_j, $j = 0, ..., J$.

Proof. Proof is given in Appendix A.1 from our preprint [16]. □

Proposition 3.1 allows to reduce the original problem to the problem of estimating the asymptotic distribution of the supremum of the absolute value of the Gaussian process $\Upsilon^{J,m}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{J} Z_j \psi_j^m(x)$ on the interval $[a, a+\delta)$, where x plays the role of time. The next step is to infer on the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta^{J,m}$.

To the best of our knowledge, there exists no clear theory revealing the asymptotic behaviour of the supremum of any Gaussian process (as well as the

asymptotic behaviour of the supremum of absolute values). The comprehensive overview of this topic is given in [1] and [23]. Let us mention one interesting result in this direction, the theorem by Marcus and Shepp [18], which states that if a centered Gaussian process G_t has bounded sample paths with probability 1, then the logarithmic asymptotics is given by

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in K} G_t \geq u\right\}}{u^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma_K^2}, \tag{13}$$

where K is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_K^2 = \sup_{t\in K} \mathbb{E}G_t^2$. It turns out that the supremum of G_t behaves much like a single Gaussian variable ξ with zero-mean and variance $\sigma^2 = \sigma_K^2$, because the logarithmic asymptotics of ξ is the same, i.e.,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\log \mathbb{P}\left\{\xi \geq u\right\}}{u^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Nevertheless, the main term in the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\{\xi \geq u\}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\xi \geq u\right\} = \frac{\sigma}{u\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big(-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}\Big)\Big(1+o(1)\Big), \qquad u\to+\infty,$$

which do not necessary coincide with the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\{\sup G_t \geq u\}$.

In the next sections, we closely consider the Gaussian processes $\Upsilon^{J,m}(x)$, defined by (12), where $\{\psi_j^m(x)\}$ are the sets of basis functions listed in Section 2. The next theorem demonstrates the asymptotic behavior of the distribution function of the r.v.

$$\tilde{\zeta} = \tilde{\zeta}^{J,m} := \sup_{x\in[a,a+\delta)} \Upsilon^{J,m}(x).$$

Later on, we will derive from this theorem the asymptotic behavior of $\zeta^{J,m}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let u grow as $\delta\to 0$ so that $\sqrt{\delta}u\to\infty$. Then*

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}^{J,m} \geq u\right\} = \frac{g_1(J)}{\Big(\sqrt{\delta}u\Big)^k} e^{-g_2(J)\cdot\delta u^2}\left(1+\tau(\sqrt{\delta}u)\right),} \tag{14}$$

where $\tau(x)\to 0$ as $x\to\infty$. Moreover,

(i) in case of trigonometric basis,

$$k=0, \qquad g_1(J) = \left(2J^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{J/2} j^2\right)^{1/2}, \qquad g_2(J) = (2J)^{-1};$$

(ii) in case of Legendre polynomials,

$$k=1, \qquad g_1(J) = \sqrt{2}(J+1)/\sqrt{\pi}, \qquad g_2(J) = 2^{-1}(J+1)^{-2};$$

(iii) in case of wavelets,

$$k = 1, \qquad g_1(J) = 2/\sqrt{\pi}, \qquad g_2(J) = 1/4.$$

Furthermore, for some cases the asymptotic behavior of the function $\tau(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ is known. In particular, in case (i),

$$\tau(x) = \sqrt{J}\left(\sqrt{2\pi}x \cdot g_1(J)\right)^{-1}(1 + o(1))\,;$$

in case (iii), $\tau(x) = O(x^{-2})$.

Proof. As it was mentioned before, there is no unified approach to find the asymptotics of the distribution of Gaussian process. Since the methodology crucially depends on the properties of covariance function, we separately prove this result for different basis functions, see Sections 4 - 6. In the case of trigonometric basis (Section 4), we efficiently use that the considered Gaussian process is stationary, and apply the Pickands theorem ([19], [23]) and some further results on this topic. In the case of Legendre polynomials (Section 5), we take into account that the variance of the process attains its maximum only in finite number of points, and apply the double sum method described in [23]. Finally, in case of wavelets (Section 6), we directly calculate the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}\{\zeta \geq u\}$. □

Remark 3.3. *In what follows, we will use the following trivial corollary from (14). Let u grow with m so that $u/\sqrt{m} \to \infty$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}^{J,m} \geq u\right\} = \frac{h_1\, m^{k/2}}{u^k} \exp\left\{-h_2\, u^2/m\right\}\left(1 + \breve{\tau}\left(u/\sqrt{m}\right)\right), \tag{15}$$

where $h_1 = h_1(J) := g_1(J) \cdot (b-a)^{-k/2}$, $h_2 = h_2(J) := g_2(J) \cdot (b-a)$, and $\breve{\tau}(x) = \tau\left(\sqrt{b-a} \cdot x\right)$.

Using (14), we can derive similar result for the supremum of the absolute value of the Gaussian process.

Corollary 3.4. *In the assumptions and notations of the last remark,*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\zeta^{J,m} \geq u\right\} = 2\frac{h_1\, m^{k/2}}{u^k} \exp\left\{-h_2\, u^2/m\right\}\left(1 + \breve{\tau}\left(u/\sqrt{m}\right)\right). \tag{16}$$

Proof. The proof can be found in our preprint [16], Appendix A.2. □

Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 yield the following theorem, which shows the asymptotic distribution of the maximal deviation $\mathscr{Z}_n$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let the assumptions on the relation between m, n and T introduced in Proposition 3.1 be fulfilled. Denote for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$u_m := \frac{y}{a_m} + \left(b_m - \frac{c_m}{b_m}\right), \tag{17}$$

where

$$a_m := 2h_2 b_m, \quad b_m := \sqrt{\frac{1}{h_2}\ln(h_1 m)}, \quad c_m := \frac{k}{2h_2}\ln b_m. \tag{18}$$

Then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \le u_m\right\} = e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right),}$$

where

$$R(m) := \breve{\tau}\left(u_m\right) - \frac{k}{4\sqrt{h_2}}\frac{\ln\ln m}{\sqrt{\ln m}}\left(1 + o(1)\right) \to 0, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty. \tag{19}$$

Proof. The proof is given in Appendix A.1. □

In the next theorem, we get the asymptotic distribution of $\mathscr{D}_n$ given by (1) from the asymptotic distribution of its "bias" $\mathscr{Z}_n$ defined in Proposition 3.1 and later analyzed in Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. *In the assumptions and notations of Theorem 3.5,*

$$\boxed{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \le u_m + \breve{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}\right\} = e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right)}$$

with $\breve{c} = qJ\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_2)/(b-a)$, *where* $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ *are defined by* (4), *and* q *is defined in* (8).

Proof. The proof is given in Appendix A.2. □

Note that here we have the usual trade-off between the deviation $(\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x))$ and the bias $(\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x))$. In fact, while u_m (which is '"responsible" for the deviation) decays with m, the second term $\breve{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}$ (which is '"responsible" for the bias) grows. In this respect, the optimal choice of m is $n^{2-3\varkappa}$, which is possible under the assumption $\varkappa \in (4/7, 2/3)$.

According to Theorem 3.2, the function $\breve{\tau}(x) = \tau\left(\sqrt{b-a}\cdot x\right)$ is known for some sets of basis functions. For instance, in case of trigonometric polynomials,

$$\tau(u_m) = Cu_m^{-1}(1 + o(1)) = C\frac{\sqrt{h_2}}{\sqrt{\ln m}}(1 + o(1)),$$

where the constant C can be explicitly computed from Theorem 3.2. Since $k = 0$, we get

$$R(m) = \left(\frac{Jh_2}{2\pi(b-a)h_1^2}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln m}}(1 + o(1)), \qquad m \to \infty.$$

In case of wavelets, $\tau(u_m) = O(u_m^{-2}) = O(1/\ln m)$ and $k = 1$, and therefore

$$R(m) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{h_2}} \frac{\ln\ln m}{\sqrt{\ln m}} \left(1 + o(1)\right), \qquad m \to \infty.$$

Therefore, the rates of convergence are typically of logarithmic order. Nevertheless, at least in the case of trigonometric basis, we can also find a sequence of accompanying laws, which approximate the distribution of $\mathscr{D}_n$ with polynomial rate. The next theorem clarifies this point.

Theorem 3.7. *Consider the case of trigonometric basis and let the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 be fulfilled, and moreover $J \geq b - a$. Define the sequence of distribution functions*

$$A_m(y) := \begin{cases} \exp\left\{-2\exp\left\{-y - \frac{y^2}{4\ln(h_1 m)}\right\} - 2m\left(1 - \Phi\left(u_m\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{J}}\right)\right)\right\}, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } y \geq -b_m^{3/2}, \\ 0, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } y < -b_m^{3/2}, \end{cases}$$

where u_m and b_m are defined by (17)-(18), and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Denote

$$A_m^-(y) = A_m\left(y - \bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\right), \quad A_m^+(y) = A_m\left(y + \bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\right),$$

where $\bar{c} := 2h_2\check{c}$, and $\check{c}$ was defined in Theorem 3.6. Then for sufficiently large m and for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\boxed{A_m^-(y) - \frac{C}{m^\beta} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \leq u_m\right\} \leq A_m^+(y) + \frac{C}{m^\beta}} \tag{20}$$

with some positive constants C and β.

Proof. Proof is given in Section 4.4. □

Note that the statement of the theorem is formally true with any choice of n, m satisfying the assumptions, but the main interest is drawn to the case

$$n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m \to 0, \qquad n, m \to \infty,$$

which occurs for instance when $\varkappa \in (0, 4/7)$, or when $m = n^\alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < \min(2 - 3\varkappa, \varkappa/2)$ and $\varkappa \in (0, 2/3)$. In the later situation, (20) can be reformulated in terms of Lévy distance, which is defined for any two distributions functions G_1 and G_2 by

$$L(G_1, G_2) = \inf\Big\{\varepsilon > 0 :\ G_1(x - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq G_2(x) \leq G_1(x + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\Big\}.$$

In fact, the Lévy distance between $A_m(y)$ and the distribution function

$$\begin{aligned} G_m(y) &:= \mathbb{P}\left\{2h_2 b_m\left[\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x)-\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right)-b_m\right]\le y\right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x)-\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right)\le u_m\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

is bounded for large m by

$$\boxed{L\left(A_m, G_m\right)\le \widetilde{C}/m^{\tilde{\beta}},} \qquad \widetilde{C}>0, \quad \tilde{\beta}=\min\left(\beta,\left(1-\frac{3\varkappa+\alpha}{2}\right)\frac{1}{\alpha}\right).$$

So, Theorem 3.6 yields that convergence to the Gumbel distribution is quite slow, and therefore we cannot state that for some realistic m the maximal deviation distribution is close to its asymptotic distribution. Such situations are typical for similar types of problems, see, e.g., [14] and [17]. Nevertheless, from Theorem 3.7, we get that the distance between maximal deviation distribution and the distribution function $A_m(y)$ converges to zero at polynomial rate.

Note that the rate of convergence formally becomes logarithmically slow if we expand additionally the double exponent in the definition of $A_m(y)$, that is,

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n\le u_m\right\}-e^{-2e^{-y}}\right|=\frac{C}{\sqrt{\ln m}}(1+o(1)) \tag{21}$$

for some positive constant C and $m\to\infty$. We clarify this point in Section 4.5.

In the next 3 sections, we separately consider different choices of basis functions: trigonometric basis, Legendre polynomials and wavelets.

4. Stationary case

4.1. Trigonometric basis

Let us consider the case of trigonometric basis,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{\psi_j^m(x),\ j=0..J\right\} &= \left\{\chi_0(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \qquad \chi_j(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\cos\left(2j\pi(x-a)/\delta\right),\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\tilde{\chi}_j(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\sin\left(2j\pi(x-a)/\delta\right), \qquad j=1..(J/2)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

on the interval $[a,a+\delta)$. Changing the variables in (12): $x\to\tau=(x-a)/\delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta} &= \max_{\tau\in[0,1]}\Upsilon(\tau), \\ \Upsilon(\tau) &:= \frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{\delta}}+\sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}\sum_{j=1}^{J/2}\left[Z_j\cos\left(2\pi j\tau\right)+\tilde{Z}_j\sin\left(2\pi j\tau\right)\right], \qquad \tau\in[0,1], \end{aligned}$$

where all Z_j and $\tilde{Z}_j$ are i.i.d. standard normal r.v.'s. Note that $\Upsilon(\tau)$ is a stationary Gaussian process with covariance function

$$r(\tau) = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2}{\delta}\sum_{j=1}^{J/2} \cos(2\pi j\tau).$$

It is a worth mentioning that the process $\Upsilon(\tau)$ has the same mean (equal to zero) and covariance function as the process $\sqrt{J/\delta}\,\widetilde{\Upsilon}(2\pi\tau)$, where

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau) \;:=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\left\{ Z_0 + \sqrt{2}\sum_{j=1}^{J/2}\left[Z_j\cos(j\tau) + \tilde{Z}_j \sin(j\tau)\right]\right\},$$

and therefore the r.v. $\tilde{\zeta}$ has the same distribution as

$$\tilde{\zeta} \stackrel{Law}{=} \sqrt{\frac{J}{\delta}}\cdot \sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi]} \widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau).$$

Note that the process $\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau)$ doesn't depend on n.

4.2. The Pickands theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2 (i) by using the Pickands theorems. This theorem stands that for any continuous stationary Gaussian process $X_t,\ t \in [0, M]$ with zero mean and covariance function satisfying the assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t+s}X_t\right] = 1 - |t|^\alpha + o(|t|^\alpha), \qquad t\to 0,\\ r(t) &< 1, \qquad \forall t>0, \end{aligned}$$

the asymptotic behaviour of the probability $\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,M]} X_t > u\right\}$ as $u\to\infty$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,M]} X_t > u\right\} = H_\alpha M u^{2/\alpha}\left(1-\Phi(u)\right)\left(1+o(1)\right), \qquad u\to\infty.$$

The next result shows the asymptotics of the distribution function of $\tilde{\zeta}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let u grow as $\delta\to 0$ so that $\sqrt{\delta}u\to\infty$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}\ge u\right\} \;=\; C\,\sqrt{\delta}\,u\,\left(1-\Phi\left(u\sqrt{\delta}/\sqrt{J}\right)\right)\,\left(1+o(1)\right), \tag{22}$$

where the constant C is equal to

$$C := \frac{2}{J}\sqrt{\pi\sum_{j=1}^{J/2} j^2}.$$

Remark 4.2. *Since for* $u \to \infty$,

$$1 - \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u} e^{-u^2/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{u^2}\right)\right) \tag{23}$$

(see, e.g., [19]), we get that (22) is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta} \geq u\right\} \quad = \quad \frac{C\sqrt{J}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-u^2\delta/(2J)\} \ (1 + o(1)), \qquad u \to \infty,$$

and therefore Lemma 4.1 is equivalent to Theorem 3.2 (i).

Proof. To prove this result, we apply the Pickands theorem, see [19] or [23], to the process

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau) \quad := \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \left\{ Z_0 + \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J/2} \left[Z_j \cos\left(j\tau/\sqrt{c}\right) + \tilde{Z}_j \sin\left(j\tau/\sqrt{c}\right) \right] \right\},$$

where positive constant c will be defined later. Note that

$$\tilde{\zeta} \stackrel{Law}{=} \sqrt{\frac{J}{\delta}} \cdot \sup_{\tau \in [0, 2\pi\sqrt{c}]} \widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau).$$

The process $\widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau)$ is a continuous stationary centered Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\tilde{r}(\tau) = \frac{1}{J} + \frac{2}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J/2} \cos\left(j\tau/\sqrt{c}\right).$$

Choosing $c = J^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J/2} j^2$, the covariance function $r(\tau)$ allows the following decomposition near 0:

$$r(\tau) = 1 - \tau^2 + o(\tau^2), \qquad \tau \to 0.$$

It is worth mentioning that the last condition of the Pickands theorem, which states that $r(\tau) < 1$ for all $\tau > 0$, doesn't hold in our situation. To avoid this difficulty, we apply the following approach, which was suggested by Vladimir Piterbarg in private communication. The authors greatfully acknowledge his help.

The proposed approach is based on the observation that the Pickands theorem is applicable on any interval $[0, t]$ where t is strictly smaller than $2\pi\sqrt{c}$. In fact, the covariance function of the process $\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau)$ can be bounded by

$$\tilde{r}(\tau) \leq \frac{1}{J}\Big(1 + 2J/2\Big) = 1,$$

and the last inequality is in fact an equality if and only if τ is proportional to $2\pi\sqrt{c}$. With this idea in mind, we define for $q \in [0, 2\pi]$

$$M(q,u) := \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\tau\in[0,q\sqrt{c}]} \widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau) > u\right\}$$

In this notation, we are interested in the asymptotics of $M(2\pi, u)$ as $u \to \infty$. Fix some small $\varepsilon > 0$ and note that

$$M(2\pi-\varepsilon,u) \le M(2\pi,u) \le M(2\pi-\varepsilon,u) + M(\varepsilon,u).$$

Next, we divide both parts of both inequalities by $M(2\pi-\varepsilon,u)$ and apply the Pickands theorem,

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{M(\varepsilon,u)}{M(2\pi-\varepsilon,u)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi-\varepsilon} < 0.2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε was chosen arbitrary, we get

$$M(2\pi,u) = H_2 2\pi\sqrt{c}u(1-\Phi(u))(1+o(1)),$$

where $H_2 = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$ is the Pickands constant, see [7]. This observation completes the proof. □

Example. Consider the case $J = 3$. Let us analyze the distribution of the random variable

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\delta}}\cdot\sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi]}\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\cdot\sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi]}\left\{\frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left[Z_1\cos\tau + Z_2\sin\tau\right]\right\}\\ &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\cdot\left\{\frac{Z_0}{\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi]}\left[Z_1\cos\tau + Z_2\sin\tau\right]\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the expression under supremum can be interpreted as the projection of the random vector $\vec{Z} := (Z_1, Z_2)$ on the direction $(\cos\tau, \sin\tau)$. Since the supremum is taken over all possible directions, we get that this supremum is equal to the length of the vector $\vec{Z}$, which is distributed as $\sqrt{\xi}$, where ξ has a χ-squared distribution with 2 degrees of freedom. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{3}{\delta}}\cdot\sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi]}\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\tau) \ge u\right\} = \int_{u\sqrt{\delta}/\sqrt{3}}^{\infty} p(x)dx, \tag{24}$$

where $p(u)$ is the convolution of the density of the r.v. $\sqrt{1/3}Z_0$, which is equal to $\sqrt{3/(2\pi)}\exp\{-3x^2/2\}$, and the denstiy of the r.v. $\sqrt{\xi}$, which is equal to $(3/2)x\exp\{-3x^2/4\}I\{x>0\}$. This density can be explicitly computed,

$$p(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}xe^{-x^2/2}\left(1-\Phi(-\sqrt{2}x)\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6\pi}}e^{-3x^2/2}.$$

The main term in the asymptotics of the integral in (24) is given by

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\int_{u\sqrt{\delta}/\sqrt{3}}^{\infty} x\exp\{-x^2/2\}dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\exp\{-u^2\delta/6\},$$

which in fact coincides with the representation from Remark 4.2.

4.3. Asymptotic behavior of $\tau(x)$ as $x \to \infty$

To find the second term in the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta} \geq u\right\}$, we apply techniques from [23], Section F, to the process $\widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau)$. These techniques are based on the assumption that the following determinant is not equal to zero:

$$\mathcal{D} := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \langle S(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S(0), S'(t)\rangle \\ 0 & 1 & \langle S'(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S'(0), S'(t)\rangle \\ \langle S(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S(0), S'(t)\rangle & 1 & 0 \\ \langle S'(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S'(0), S'(t)\rangle & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$S(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{J}}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{c}}\right), \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{c}}\right), ..., \cos\left(\frac{Nt}{\sqrt{c}}\right), \sin\left(\frac{Nt}{\sqrt{c}}\right)\right),$$

$$S(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\left(1, \sqrt{2}, 0, ..., \sqrt{2}, 0\right),$$

$$S'(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{Jc}}\left(0, -\sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{c}}\right), \cos\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{c}}\right), ..., -N\sin\left(\frac{Nt}{\sqrt{c}}\right), N\cos\left(\frac{Nt}{\sqrt{c}}\right)\right),$$

$$S'(0) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{Jc}}\left(0, 0, 1, 0, 2, ..., 0, N\right),$$

and $N = J/2$. Denote

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \langle S(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S(0), S'(t)\rangle \\ \langle S'(0), S(t)\rangle & \langle S'(0), S'(t)\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(0), S(t)\rangle &= \frac{1}{J}\left(1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{N}\cos\left(\frac{kt}{\sqrt{c}}\right)\right), \\ \langle S(0), S'(t)\rangle &= -\frac{2}{J\sqrt{c}}\sum_{k=1}^{N} k\sin\left(\frac{kt}{\sqrt{c}}\right), \\ \langle S'(0), S(t)\rangle &= \frac{2}{J\sqrt{c}}\sum_{k=1}^{N} k\sin\left(\frac{kt}{\sqrt{c}}\right) = -\langle S(0), S'(t)\rangle, \\ \langle S'(0), S'(t)\rangle &= \frac{2}{Jc}\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^2\cos\left(\frac{kt}{\sqrt{c}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$-\frac{2N-1}{2N+1}=\frac{1}{J}\left(1-2N\right)\leq\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle\leq\frac{1}{J}\left(1+2N\right)=1, \tag{25}$$

$$-1=-\frac{2}{Jc}\sum_{k=1}^{N}k^2\leq\langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle\leq\frac{2}{Jc}\sum_{k=1}^{N}k^2=1 \tag{26}$$

The r.h.s. equality in (25) and (26) is attained only if $t/\sqrt{c}=\{0\},\{2\pi\}$ .The determinant $\mathcal{D}$ has a block structure

$$\mathcal{D}=\left|\begin{array}{cc} I & B \\ B & I \end{array}\right|,$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{D}=|I|\cdot\left|I-BI^{-1}B\right|=\left|I-B^2\right|. \tag{27}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}\neq 0$ if and only if the number one is not an eigenvalue of the matrix B^2. It is well known that the eigenvalues of B^2 are equal (with multiplicity) to the squares of the eigenvalues of the matrix B ([24], exercise 1077, p.145). Note that the direct computation of the eigenvalues is not quite trivial because B is not symmetric. So we prove that $\mathcal{D}\neq 0$ if we show that ± 1 cannot be the eigenvalues of the matrix B. Suppose that $\lambda_1=1$ is the eigenvalue of B and let $x=(a,b)$ be the corresponding eigenvector. Then we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \langle S(0),S(t)\rangle & \langle S(0),S'(t)\rangle \\ \langle S'(0),S(t)\rangle & \langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array}\right),$$

$$a\cdot\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle+b\cdot\langle S(0),S'(t)\rangle=a$$

$$a\cdot\langle S'(0),S(t)\rangle+b\cdot\langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle=b$$

Suppose that $a\neq 0$ (the case $b\neq 0$ can be consided analogously). Denoting $d=b/a$ we obtain

$$\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle+d\cdot\langle S(0),S'(t)\rangle=1 \tag{28}$$

$$-\langle S(0),S'(t)\rangle+d\cdot\langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle=d \tag{29}$$

Substituting $\langle S(0),S'(t)\rangle$ from (29) into (28), we obtain

$$\begin{gathered}\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle+d^2\cdot(\langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle-1)=1,\\ [\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle-1]+d^2\cdot[\langle S'(0),S'(t)\rangle-1]=0\end{gathered} \tag{30}$$

It follows from (25) and (26) that both terms in square brackets in (30) are non positive and, hence this equality is possible if and only if $\langle S(0),S(t)\rangle=1$ and this is possible if and only if $t/\sqrt{c}=\{0\},\{2\pi\}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}\neq 0$ and we are able to apply (F.3) from [23],

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi\sqrt{c}]}\widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau)>u\right\}=\sqrt{2c}e^{-u^2/2}+(1-\Phi(u))+\rho(u), \tag{31}$$

where $|\rho(u)| \lesssim e^{-u^2(1+\chi)/2}$, $u \to \infty$ for some $\chi > 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta} > u\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{J}{\delta}} \sup_{\tau\in[0,2\pi\sqrt{c}]} \widetilde{\Upsilon}_c(\tau) > u\right\} \\ &= \sqrt{2c}e^{-\delta u^2/2J} + \left(1 - \Phi(u\sqrt{\delta/J})\right) + \rho(u\sqrt{\delta/J}). \qquad (32)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the statement of the Theorem 3.2 (i) immediately follows from (32), and therefore the last lines of reasoning can be viewed as another proof of this theorem. Moreover, from (32) we get the exact form of the remainder term $\tau(x)$, because by (23),

$$\tau(x) := \frac{1 - \Phi(x/\sqrt{J})}{\sqrt{2c}e^{-x^2/2J}} \asymp \frac{\sqrt{J}}{2\sqrt{\pi c}x}, \qquad x \to \infty.$$

This observation completes the proof.

4.4. Sequence of accompanying laws

Proof of Theorem 3.7.

The main idea of the proof is to show that the "bias" satisfies

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le u_m\right\} - A_m(y)\right| \le Cm^{-\beta},$$

(see steps 1 and 2) and afterwards to study the entire deviation $\mathscr{D}_n$ (step 3).

1. From the proof of Theorem 3.5 (see Appendix A.3), we get that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m}\right\} = e^{W_m}e^{o(W_m)} + c_2 n^{-\lambda},$$

where

$$W_m = -m\mathbb{P}\left\{\zeta^{J,m} \ge \sqrt{m}u_m\right\}, \qquad u_m := b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m}.$$

Using (32) and notations of Remark 3.3, we get

$$W_m = -2h_1 m\exp\left\{-h_2 u_m^2\right\} - 2m\left(1 - \Phi\left(u_m\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{J}}\right)\right) + R,$$

where

$$|R| \le m\cdot\exp\left\{-\frac{(1+\chi)}{2}\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{J}}u_m^2\right\}. \qquad (33)$$

By the definition of u_m,

$$h_1 m \exp\left\{-h_2 u_m^2\right\} = \exp\left\{-y - \frac{y^2}{4\ln(h_1 m)}\right\}. \tag{34}$$

Note that for $y \geq -b_m^{3/2}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large m

$$u_m = b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m} \geq b_m - \frac{1}{2h_2}\sqrt{b_m} \geq (1-\varepsilon) b_m. \tag{35}$$

Hence, continuing the estimation of $|R|$ in (33), we get that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large m

$$\begin{aligned} |R| &\leq m \exp\left\{-\frac{(1+\chi)}{2}\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{J}}\,(1-\varepsilon)^2\, b_m^2\right\} \\ &\lesssim m \exp\left\{-(1+\chi)\,(1-\varepsilon)^2\sqrt{\frac{J}{b-a}}\ln(m)\right\} \leq C_1 m^{-\beta}, \qquad \beta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $b_m^2 \asymp \ln(m)/h_2 = 2J\ln(m)/(b-a)$ by the definition of b_m, $J > b-a$ by our assumption, and ε can be chosen arbitrarily small. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \geq -b_m^{3/2}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}} \sup_{x \in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \leq b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m}\right\} - A_m(y)\right| \\ = \sup_{y \geq -b_m^{3/2}} |A_m(y)| \cdot \left|e^R - 1\right| \asymp |R| \leq C_1 m^{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

2. For $y < -b_m^{3/2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_m &= -m\mathbb{P}\left\{\zeta^{J,m} \geq \sqrt{m}\left(b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m}\right)\right\} \\ &\leq -mP\left\{\zeta^{J,m} > \sqrt{m}\left(b_m - \frac{1}{2h_2}\sqrt{b_m}\right)\right\} \\ &= -2h_1 m \exp\left\{-h_2 b_m^2 + b_m^{3/2} - \frac{b_m}{4h_2}\right\} \\ &\lesssim -2\exp\left\{b_m^{3/2}\right\} \asymp -2\exp\left\{\frac{(\ln m)^{3/4}}{h_2^{3/4}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y < -b_m^{3/2}} \left| \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}} \sup_{x \in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \leq b_m + \frac{y}{2h_2 b_m}\right\} - A_m(y)\right| \\ \leq \exp\left\{-2\exp\left\{\frac{(\ln m)^{3/4}}{h_2^{3/4}}\right\}\right\} \lesssim m^{-K} \end{aligned}$$

for any positive K.

3. By (71) and the first inequality in (72), we know that

$$\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{D}_n \le \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n + \breve{c}\cdot n^{(3\varkappa/2)-1}m^{1/2}.$$

Similarly, we get that

$$\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{D}_n \ge \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n - \breve{c}\cdot n^{(3\varkappa/2)-1}m^{1/2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{D}_n \le u_m\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \le u_m + \breve{c}\cdot n^{(3\varkappa/2)-1}m^{1/2}\right\} + \frac{C}{m^\beta},\\
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{D}_n \le u_m\right\} &\ge \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \le u_m - \breve{c}\cdot n^{(3\varkappa/2)-1}m^{1/2}\right\} - \frac{C}{m^\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This observation completes the proof of Theorem 3.7.

4.5. Taylor expansions for accompanying laws

In this subsection, we explain why it is impossible to get power rate of convergence ("fast convergence") of the maximal deviation distribution to the Gumbel distribution looking at Theorem 3.7. This result is very typical for such problems, see e.g. [17].

Note that $A_m^{\pm}(y)$ for large m can be represented as

$$A_m^{\pm}(y) = g_1\left(y \pm \bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\right)\cdot g_2\left(y \pm \bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\right),$$

where

$$g_1(y) := \exp\left\{-2e^{-y-y^2\lambda_n}\right\}, \qquad g_2(y) := e^{-2m\left(1-\Phi\left(u_m(y)\sqrt{(b-a)/J}\right)\right)},$$

and $\lambda_n := 1/\left(4\ln(h_1 m)\right)$. Let us apply several times the Taylor theorem to the function $g_1(\cdot)$. First, taking into account that $\bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m \to 0$ as $m\to\infty$, we expand $g_1(\cdot)$ in the vicinity of y:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1^{\pm}(y) &:= g_1\left(y \pm \bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\right)\\
&= g_1(y) + 2g_1(y)e^{-y-y^2\lambda_n}\left(1-2y\lambda_n\right)\bar{c}n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}b_m\left(1+o(1)\right)\\
&= g_1(y) + c_1 m^{-r}(1+o(1)) \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

with some positive c_1 and r. Next, applying the Taylor theorem to $g_1(\cdot)$ near zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1(y) &= \exp\left\{-2e^{-y}\left(1-y^2\lambda_n(1+o(1))\right)\right\}\\
&= e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1+2\lambda_n e^{-y}y^2(1+o(1))\right) = e^{-2e^{-y}} + \frac{c_2}{\ln m}(1+o(1)). \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (36) with (37), we get that the difference between $g_1^{\pm}(y)$ and $e^{-2e^{-y}}$ is of the order $c_2(\ln m)^{-1}(1+o(1))$. As for the function $g_2(\cdot)$, we note that

$$g_2^{\pm}(y) := g_2\left(y \pm \bar{c} n^{3\varkappa/2-1} m^{1/2} b_m\right)$$
$$= g_2(y) \pm cmg_2(y) \cdot p\left(u_m^{\pm}(y)\frac{b-a}{J}\right)\frac{n^{3\varkappa/2-1}m^{1/2}}{2h_2}(1+o(1)),$$

where by $p(\cdot)$ we denote the density of the standard normal distribution, and

$$u_m^{\pm}(y) = \frac{y \pm \bar{c} n^{3\varkappa/2-1} m^{1/2} b_m}{2h_2 b_m} + b_m.$$

It is a worth mentioning that

$$p\left(u_m^{\pm}(y)\frac{b-a}{J}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(u_m^{\pm}(y))^2(b-a)/(2J)} = \frac{c}{m}(1+o(1)),$$

because in the trigonometric case $b-a = 2Jh_2$. Therefore,

$$g_2\left(y \pm \bar{c} n^{3\varkappa/2-1} m^{1/2} b_m\right) = g_2(y) + \frac{c}{m^s}(1+o(1))$$

with some positive c and s. Finally, applying (23), we arrive at

$$g_2(y) = \exp\left\{-m\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}u_m} e^{-u_m^2(b-a)/(2J)}\right\} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ln m}}\right)(1+o(1)),$$

because $b-a = 2Jh_2$. Therefore,

$$A_m^{\pm}(y) = e^{-2e^{-y}} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\ln m}}(1+o(1)), \qquad m \to \infty,$$

and the desired result (21) follows.

5. Legendre polynomials

In this section, we consider the orthogonal Legendre polynomials $P_n(x), -1 \le x \le 1$, defined by the formula

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!2^n}\left[\left(x^2-1\right)^n\right]^{(n)}, \qquad n = 0,1,2,.... \tag{38}$$

The orthonormal Legendre polynomials $\widehat{P}_n(x)$ are defined by

$$\widehat{P}_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2n+1}{2}} P_n(x), \qquad -1 \le x \le 1. \tag{39}$$

Recall that we are interested in the asympotic behaviour of the probability of the event $\left\{\breve{\zeta} \ge u\, c\, \sqrt{\delta/2}\right\}$ as $u \to +\infty$, where

$$\breve{\zeta} = \breve{\zeta}^{J,m} \triangleq \sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x), \tag{40}$$

and the Gaussian field $\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x)$ is defined by

$$\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) = \breve{\Upsilon}(x) \triangleq c \sum_{j=0}^{J} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2}} P_j(x) Z_j = c \sum_{j=0}^{J} \widehat{P}_j(x) Z_j, \quad x \in [-1,1], \tag{41}$$

Z_j are i.i.d. standard normal random variables, and c is a constant that will be defined later. Note that here we slightly change the notation introduced in Section 3.

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii). The main idea of the proof is to use Corollary 8.3 from [23]. Below we check the conditions of this theorem, listed on pp.118-119 and 133 from [23].

5.1. Properties of Legendre polynomials

Below we list some important properties of the Legendre polynomials, as well as some essential ideas of the proofs of these properties.

1. Many properties of Legendre polynomials may be derived from the generating function representations

$$F(x,w) \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2xw+w^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) w^n,$$

$$F'_x(x,w) = w\left(1-2xw+w^2\right)^{-3/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P'_n(x) w^n,$$

see [26], formula (11). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(1) &= 1, & P_n(-1) &= (-1)^n, \\ P'_n(1) &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, & P'_n(-1) &= (-1)^{n+1}\frac{n(n+1)}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

2. From the Laplace formula ([26], p. 128, formula (1))

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} \left(x + i\sqrt{1-x^2}\cos\theta\right)^n d\theta, \ \ |x| \le 1,$$

we derive that

$$|P_n(x)| \le \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} [x^2 + (1-x^2)\cos^2\theta]^{n/2} d\theta \le 1, \ \ |x| \le 1, \tag{43}$$

and

$$|P_n(x)| < 1 \text{ for } |x| < 1. \tag{44}$$

3. Another important property is that $\max_{[-1,1]} \left|P_j'(x)\right| = P_j'(1)$. In fact, by 8.915 (2) from [12],

$$P_j'(x) = \sum_{\{k:\ j-2k-1\geq 0\}} (2j-4k-1)P_{j-2k-1}(x).$$

Clearly,

$$\left|P_j'(x)\right| \leq \sum_{\{k:\ j-2k-1\geq 0\}} (2j-4k-1). \tag{45}$$

Suppose that $j=2l$. Then we obtain from (45)

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{2l}'(x)| &\leq (4l-1)+(4l-5)+...+(4l-4(l-1)-1) = 4l^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(4k+1) \\ &= 4l^2-2l(l-1)-l = 2l^2+l = \frac{2l(2l+1)}{2} = P_{2l}'(1). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

If $j=2l-1$ we obtain again from (45)

$$\begin{aligned} \left|P_{2l-1}'(x)\right| &\leq (4l-3)+(4l-7)+...+(4l-4(l-1)-3) \\ &= 4l^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1}(4k+3) = 4l^2-2l(l-1)-3l = \\ &= 2l^2-l = \frac{(2l-1)2l}{2} = P_{2l-1}'(1). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Therefore, from (46) and (47) it follows that

$$\max_{[-1,1]} \left|P_j'(x)\right| = P_j'(1) = \frac{j(j+1)}{2}.$$

5.2. Covariance function of the process $\Upsilon(x)$

The covariance function $r(x,y)$ of the process $\Upsilon(x)$ is equal to

$$r(x,y) = c^2 \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{2j+1}{2} P_j(x)P_j(y),$$

while the correlation function $\rho(x,y)$ is equal to

$$\rho(x,y) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j(x)P_j(y)}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(x)\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(y)\right)^{1/2}},$$

$$\rho(x,x) = 1, \quad x\in[-1,1]. \tag{48}$$

Denote by $\sigma^2(x)$ the variance of the process $\Upsilon(x)$, that is,

$$\sigma^2(x) = r(x,x) = c^2 \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{2j+1}{2} P_j^2(x). \tag{49}$$

Let us check the condition (E1) on p. 118 from [23], which states that the variance of the process $\Upsilon(x)$ can be represented as

$$\sigma(x) = 1 - |A_j(x - x_j)|^{\beta_j} (1 + o(1)), \quad j = 1, .., q, \tag{50}$$

where $x_1, ..., x_q$ are the points of maximum of $\sigma^2(x)$, $A_j \neq 0$, $\beta_j \in I\!R$, $j = 1..q$. From (43) and (44), it follows that $q = 2$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = -1$, and

$$\sigma^2(1) = \sigma^2(-1) \triangleq \sigma^2_{\max} = c^2 \sum_{j=0}^{J} \frac{2j+1}{2} = \frac{c^2}{2}(J+1)^2. \tag{51}$$

Since from (50) it follows that $\sigma_{\max} = 1$, we choose $c = \sqrt{2}/(J+1)$. Next, we calculate the derivative of the variance,

$$\sigma'(x) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(x)}} \sum_{j=0}^{J} (2j+1)\,P_j(x)P_j'(x).$$

In the neighbourhood of $x = 1$ we have an expansion

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(1) - \sigma'(1)(1-x) + o((1-x)^2), \tag{52}$$

where

$$\sigma'(1) = \frac{c}{4\sqrt{2}} J(J+1)(J+2) = J(J+2)/4.$$

Analogously, in the neighbourhood of $x = -1$ we have an expansion

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(-1) + \sigma'(-1)(x+1) + o((x+1)^2), \tag{53}$$

where

$$\sigma'(-1) = -J(J+2)/4.$$

From (52) and (53), we finally conclude that (50) holds with $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = J(J+2)/4$.

5.3. Local homogeneity

The second condition (E2) on p.112 from [23] is about the local homogeneity of the process. It states that for any $j = 1..q$,

$$\rho(x,y) = 1 - C_j|x-y|^{\alpha_j} (1 + o(1)), \qquad \text{as} \quad x \to x_j,\ y \to x_j, \tag{54}$$

where $C_j \neq 0$, $\alpha_j \in I\!R$. Let us first check (54) for the point $x_1 = 1$. Denote

$$S_{lk}(x) = S^J_{lk}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{J} (2j+1)\, P_j^{(l)}(x) P_j^{(k)}(x), \qquad k = 0,1,2,\ l = 0,1.$$

Then we get from (48) with $\Delta := y - x$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x,y) &= \rho(x, x+\Delta) \\
&= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{J} (2j+1)\, P_j(x) P_j(x+\Delta)}{\left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} (2j+1)\, P_j^2(x)\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J} (2j+1)\, P_j^2(x+\Delta)\right)\right]^{1/2}} \\
&= \frac{S_{00}(x) + S_{01}(x)\Delta + \frac{1}{2} S_{02}(x)\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3)}{\left(\bar{S}(x)\right)^{1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the notation

$$\bar{S}(x) = [S_{00}(x)]^2 + 2S_{00}(x)S_{01}(x)\Delta + S_{00}(x)\left[S_{11}(x) + S_{02}(x)\right]\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3).$$

Continuing the line of reasoning,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x,y) &= \frac{1 + \frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{S_{02}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3)}{\left(1 + 2\frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{[S_{11}(x)+S_{02}(x)]}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3)\right)^{1/2}} \\
&= \frac{1 + \frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{S_{02}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3)}{1 + \frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{[S_{11}(x)+S_{02}(x)]}{S_{00}(x)} - \left(\frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\right)^2\right\}\Delta^2 + o(\Delta^3)} \\
&= \frac{1 + \frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{S_{02}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta^2}{1 + \frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{[S_{11}(x)+S_{02}(x)]}{S_{00}(x)} - \left(\frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\right)^2\right\}\Delta^2}(1 + o(\Delta^3)) \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S_{11}(x)}{S_{00}(x)} - \left(\frac{S_{01}(x)}{S_{00}(x)}\right)^2\right)(y-x)^2 + o((y-x)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We have from (42)

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{00}(1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(1) = (J+1)^2\,,\\
S_{01}(1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j(1)P_j'(1)\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{J} j^3 + \frac{3}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{J} j^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{J} j = \frac{J(J+1)^2(J+2)}{4},\\
S_{11}(1) &= \sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\left(P_j'(1)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,j^2(j+1)^2\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{J} j^5 + \frac{5}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{J} j^4 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} j^3 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{J} j^2 = \frac{J^2(J+1)^2(J+2)^2}{12},
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{S_{11}(1)}{S_{00}(1)} &= \frac{J^2(J+2)^2}{24},\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S_{01}(t)}{S_{00}(t)}\right)^2 &= \frac{J^2(J+2)^2}{32},\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S_{11}(1)}{S_{00}(1)} - \left(\frac{S_{01}(1)}{S_{00}(1)}\right)^2\right) &= \frac{J^2(J+2)^2}{24} - \frac{J^2(J+2)^2}{32} = \frac{J^2(J+2)^2}{96}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the condition (54) holds near the point $x_1 = 1$ with $\alpha_1 = 2$ and $C_1 = J^2(J+2)^2/96$. It follows from (42) that $S_{00}(-1) = S_{00}(1)$, $S_{01}(-1) = -S_{01}(1)$, $S_{11}(-1) = S_{11}(1)$ and, hence, the same expansion holds near point $x = -1$, with $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ and $C_2 = C_1$.

5.4. Global Hölder condition

We now check the condition (E3) on p. 118 from [23], which states there exist some $g > 0$, $G > 0$, such that for all x, y,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) - \breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(y)\right)^2 \le G\,|x-y|^g\,.$$

This condition immediately follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x,y) &= c^2\cdot\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J}\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2}}\,(P_j(x)-P_j(y))\,Z_j\right)^2\\
&= c^2\sum_{j=0}^{J}\frac{2j+1}{2}\,(P_j(x)-P_j(y))^2 \le C_J(x-y)^2
\end{aligned}$$

with some constant C_J depending only on J, because

$$\left|P_j'(x)\right| \le P_j'(1) = j(j+1)/2.$$

5.5. Concluding remarks

Applying Corollary 8.3 from [23] (p. 134), we arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) > u\right\} = 2\left(1-\Phi\left(u\right)\right)\left(1+o(1)\right), \qquad u\to\infty. \tag{55}$$

Intuitively (55) means that for the large level u the considered probability is approximately equal to the sum of two probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(1) \ge u\}$ and $\mathbb{P}\{\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(-1) \ge u\}$. Taking into account (23), we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) > u\right\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}u} e^{-u^2/2}\left(1+o(1)\right), \qquad u\to\infty.$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\tilde{\zeta} > u\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in[-1,1]} \breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) > uc\sqrt{\delta/2}\right\}\\ &= \frac{2}{(\sqrt{\pi}c)\cdot(\sqrt{\delta}u)} e^{-c^2\delta u^2/4}\left(1+o(1)\right), \qquad u\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

This observation completes the proof of Theorem 3.2 (ii).

6. Wavelets

In this section, we consider the case of Haar wavelets, that is,

$$\psi_j(x) = \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}\left(I\{x\in[a+\delta/2, a+\delta]\} - I\{x\in[a, a+\delta/2]\}\right)\right\},$$

where $\delta = 2^{-l}$ for some $l\in I\!N$. For this set of functions,

$$\tilde{\zeta} = 2^{l/2}\left(Z_0 + |Z_1|\right).$$

Therefore $\tilde{\zeta}/2^{l/2}$ has distribution with density and cdf equal to

$$p_{Z_0+|Z_1|}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-x^2/4}\Phi\left(x/\sqrt{2}\right), \qquad F_{Z_0+|Z_1|}(x) = 1-\Phi^2\left(x/\sqrt{2}\right).$$

Next, we apply a Taylor expansion of the function $1-\Phi(x)$ for large x up to the second order (23), and get that

$$1-\Phi^2(x/\sqrt{2}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}x} e^{-x^2/2}\left(1-\frac{2}{x^2}+o\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)\right). \tag{56}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2 (iii).

7. Discussion

The main contributions of this paper are Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 , which give the asymptotic behavior of the maximal deviation distribution for projection estimates. Our research is motivated by the paper [9], which is to the best of our knowledge the unique publication on this topic. Below we list the main findings of our research:

1. first of all, we provide a unified treatment for different sets of basis functions (Legendre polynomials, trigonometric basis, wavelets);
2. we derive the asymptotics of the maximal deviation distribution for any Legendre polynomials, not only for piecewise constant and piecewise linear basis functions, as it was known before;
3. we have found a sequence of accompanying laws, which leads to better convergence rate (see Theorem 3.7).

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 3.6 is formulated in Theorem 3.2, which reveals the essential difference in asymptotic behaviour of Gaussian processes for different sets of basis functions. An open problem is to prove similar facts for any basis under some mild conditions. The existing theory for Gaussian processes doesn't have a unified remedy for solving such problems, and therefore this issue can be a good topic for further research.

Appendix A: Some proofs

A.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1

The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9].

1. *Preliminary remarks.* For an empirical process $G(\cdot)$ and positive constant $\varkappa$, introduce the notation

$$\mathscr{L}(\varkappa, G, d; x) := \varkappa \sum_{r=1}^{d} \left[\int_D \varphi_r(u)\, dG(u) \right] \varphi_r(x).$$

When there is no risk of confusion, we will use the simplified notation $\mathscr{L}(\varkappa, G)$. Note that

$$\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x) = \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, Z_n(F_\Delta(\cdot)), d; x\Big) =: \mathscr{L}_1(x),$$

where $\mathscr{Z}_n(\cdot)$ is the standardized empirical process of a uniform random sample $F_\Delta(X_\Delta^{(k)})$, $k = 1..n$, that is

$$\mathscr{Z}_n(x) := \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left\{ F_\Delta(X_\Delta^{(k)}) \le x \right\} - \mathbb{P}\left\{ F_\Delta(X_\Delta^{(k)}) \le x \right\} \right),$$

and $F_t(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of X_t. In our notations, $Z_n(F_\Delta(\cdot))$ is the empirical process for $X_\Delta^{(k)}$, $k = 1..n$,

$$Z_n(F_\Delta(x)) \quad = \quad \sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left\{X_\Delta^{(k)} \le x\right\} - F_\Delta(x)\right).$$

2. *Komlós-Major-Tusnady construction.* Applying Theorem 3 in [15], we get that there exists a version of $\mathscr{Z}_n(x)$ (denoting below also as $Z_n(x)$ for simplifyng the notation) such that for any $y > 0$ the probability of the event

$$\mathcal{W}_n(y) := \left\{\sup_{x\in[0,1]} |Z_n(x) - B_n(x)| \le C_1\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}} + y\right\}$$

is larger than $1-Ke^{-\lambda y\sqrt{n}}$, where $B_n(x) = W_n(x)-xW_n(1)$ is the corresponding Brownian bridge constructed by Brownian motion $W_n(x)$ and C_1, K, λ are some positive absolute constants. As usual, we take $y = y^* = \log(n)/\sqrt{n}$, and get that the set $\mathcal{W}_n^* = \mathcal{W}_n(y^*)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_n^* := \left\{\sup_{x\in[0,1]} |Z_n(x) - B_n(x)| \le C_2\frac{\log(n)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\} \tag{57}$$

is of probability larger than $1 - K/n^\lambda$.

3. $\mathscr{L}_1(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_2(x) := \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, B_n(F_\Delta(\cdot)), d; x\Big)$.

By the definition of the functional $\mathscr{L}$,

$$\mathscr{L}_1(x) - \mathscr{L}_2(x) = \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, Z_n(F_\Delta(\cdot)) - B_n(F_\Delta(\cdot))\Big) \tag{58}$$

It is a worth mentioning for any empirical process G,

$$\sup_{x\in D}\Big|\mathscr{L}\Big(\varkappa, G, d; x\Big)\Big| \le C_2\ \varkappa\ m\ w(G, D, \delta), \tag{59}$$

where C_2 is a positive constant depending on (φ_r), and w is the modulus of continuity, that is

$$w(G, D, \delta) := \sup\Big\{|G(u) - G(v)| :\ u, v \in D,\ |u - v| < \delta\Big\}.$$

In fact, using integration by parts we get that for $x \in I_p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathscr{L}\Big(\varkappa, G, d; x\Big)\right| &= \left|\varkappa \sum_{j=0}^{J}\left[\int_a^{a+\delta} \psi_j(u)\, dG\left(u+\bar{\delta}\right)\right]\psi_j(x-\bar{\delta})\right| \\
&= \left|\varkappa \sum_{j=0}^{J}\Big[\psi_j(a+\delta)\Big(G(a+\bar{\delta}+\delta)-G(a+\bar{\delta})\Big)\right. \\
&\qquad \left.-\int_a^{a+\delta}\Big(G(u+\bar{\delta})-G(a+\bar{\delta})\Big)d\psi_j(u)\Big]\psi_j(x-\bar{\delta})\right| \\
&\le \varkappa \sum_{j=0}^{J}\left(\sup_{x\in I_1}|\psi_j(x)| + V_a^{a+\delta}(\psi_j)\right)\sup_{x\in I_1}|\psi_j(x)|\cdot w(G,D,\delta) \\
&\le C_2\,\varkappa\, m\, w(G,D,\delta),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\delta} := \delta(p-1)$, $C_2 > 0$, and we use a slightly simplified notation $\psi_j(\cdot) := \psi_j^m(\cdot)$ and the conditions (4). Combining (57), (58) and (59) we get that on the set $\mathcal{W}_n^*$,

$$\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_1(x)-\mathscr{L}_2(x)| \le C_3\frac{m\log(n)}{T}, \qquad \text{where } C_3 > 0.$$

4. $\mathscr{L}_2(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_3(x) := \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, B_n(1-F_\Delta(\cdot)), d; x\Big)$.

Taking into account that $B_n(x) \overset{Law}{=} B_n(1-x)$ for any $x \in [0,1]$, we get

$$\mathscr{L}_2(x) = \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, B_n(F_\Delta(\cdot))\Big) \overset{Law}{=} \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, B_n(1-F_\Delta(\cdot))\Big) = \mathscr{L}_3(x).$$

5. $\mathscr{L}_3(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_4(x) := \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, W_n(1-F_\Delta(\cdot))\Big)$.

Obviously,

$$\mathscr{L}_4(x)-\mathscr{L}_3(x) = \mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, (1-F_\Delta(\cdot))\, W_n(1)\Big)$$

Similarly to Step 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_4(x)-\mathscr{L}_3(x)| &\le \frac{\sqrt{n}d}{T}\, C_2\, w\Big(1-F_\Delta(\cdot), D, \delta\Big)\ |W_n(1)| \\
&\le \frac{\sqrt{n}d}{T}\left(C_5\Delta^2 + C_6\delta\Delta\right)\ |W_n(1)| \\
&\le \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\left(C_5\Delta + C_6\delta\right)\ |W_n(1)|\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds due to an important sequence from (8): for any $u < v,\ u, v \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{P}\{X_\Delta \ge u\} - \mathbb{P}\{X_\Delta \ge v\}| &< q\Delta^2 + \nu([u,v])\,\Delta \\
&\le q\Delta^2 + \max_{x\in[u,v]}|s(x)|\cdot(v-u)\Delta. \qquad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

6. $\mathscr{L}_4(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_5(x) := \mathscr{L}\Big(1/\sqrt{T}, W_n\left(\left(1-F_\Delta(x)\right)/\Delta\right), d; x\Big)$.
Applying properties of the Brownian motion, we get

$$\mathscr{L}\Big(\sqrt{n}/T, W_n(1-F_\Delta(\cdot))\Big) \stackrel{Law}{=} \mathscr{L}\Big(1/\sqrt{T}, W_n\left(\left(1-F_\Delta(\cdot)\right)/\Delta\right)\Big).$$

7. $\mathscr{L}_5(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_6(x) := \mathscr{L}\Big(1/\sqrt{T}, W_n\left(\int_\cdot^{+\infty} s(u)du\right), d; x\Big)$.
Using the assumption (8), we get

$$\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_5(x)-\mathscr{L}_6(x)| \le \frac{dm}{\sqrt{T}}C_7 w(W_n, D, q\Delta)\frac{d}{\sqrt{T}}\, C_2\; w\left(W_n\Big(\left(1-F_\Delta(\cdot)\right)/\Delta\Big) - W_n\Big(\int_\cdot^{+\infty} s(u)du\Big), D, \delta\right).$$

In the paper [11], it is proven that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[w(W_n, D, q\Delta)\right] \le \breve{C}\sqrt{\Delta \ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)},$$

and therefore due to Chebyshev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_5(x)-\mathscr{L}_6(x)| > \varepsilon\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{w(W_n, D, q\Delta) > \varepsilon\frac{\sqrt{T}}{dmC_7}\right\}\\ &\le C_7\breve{C}\frac{dm}{\varepsilon\sqrt{T}}\sqrt{\Delta\ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

8. $\mathscr{L}_6(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_7(x) := \mathscr{L}\left(1/\sqrt{T}, \int_\cdot^{+\infty}\sqrt{s(u)}dW_n(u), d; x\right)$.
The functionals $\mathscr{L}_6(x)$ and $\mathscr{L}_7(x)$ have the same distributions, because

$$W_n\left(\int_\cdot^{+\infty} s(u)du\right) \stackrel{Law}{=} \int_\cdot^{+\infty}\sqrt{s(u)}dW_n(u).$$

Recall that

$$\mathscr{L}\Big(1/\sqrt{T}, \int_x^{+\infty}\sqrt{s(u)}dW_n(u)\Big) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\left[\int_D \varphi_r(u)\sqrt{s(u)}\, dW_n(u)\right]\varphi_r(x)$$

9. $\mathscr{L}_7(x) \rightsquigarrow \mathscr{L}_8(x) := \mathscr{L}\left(\sqrt{(b-a)/T}, W_n(\cdot), d; x\right)$. Let us show that

$$\sup_{x\in D}\left|\mathscr{L}_8(x) - \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\mathscr{L}_7(x)\right| \le C_8 T^{-1/2}\sup_{x\in D}|W_n(x)|\,. \tag{61}$$

In fact, we can represent the difference in (61) as

$$\mathscr{L}_8(x) - \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\mathscr{L}_7(x) = \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{T}}\sum_{r=1}^{d} G_r(x)\varphi_r(x),$$

where

$$G_r(x) := \int_D \varphi_r(u) dW_n(u) - \int_D \varphi_r(u) \sqrt{\frac{s(u)}{s(x)}} dW_n(u).$$

Using integration by parts, we get

$$G_r(x) = \varphi_r(b) \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{s(b)}{s(x)}}\right) W_n(b) - \varphi_r(a) \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{s(a)}{s(x)}}\right) W_n(a) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\varphi_r'(u) \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{s(u)}{s(x)}}\right) - \frac{\varphi_r(u) s'(u)}{2\sqrt{s(u)s(x)}}\right) W_n(u) du$$

Taking into account that $s(u)$ as well as $s'(u)$ are bounded on D, we get

$$\sup_D |G_r(x)| \le C_9 \sup_D |W_n(u)|,$$

and the required result follows. Note that the distribution of the random variable $\sup |W_n(x)|$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in D} |W_n(x)| > u\right\} &\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in D} W_n(x) > u\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{x\in D} W_n(x) < -u\right\} \\ &\le 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in D} W_n(x) > u\right\} \le 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{x\in [0,b]} W_n(x) > u\right\} \\ &\le 4\mathbb{P}\left\{W_n(b) > u\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

see Theorem 2.18 (p.50) from [20].

10. $\sup_{x\in D} \mathscr{L}_8(x) \rightsquigarrow$ maximum of random variables.

Let us represent

$$\mathscr{L}_8(x) = \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{T}} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{J} \Big[\int_{I_p} \psi_j(u - \delta(p-1)) dW_n(u)\Big] \psi_j(x - \delta(p-1)), \ x \in I_p.$$

Note that $Z_{j,p} := \int_{I_p} \psi_j(u - \delta(p-1)) dW_n(u)$ are the normal random variable with zero means and variances equal to

$$\int_{I_p} \psi_j^2(u - \delta(p-1)) du = \int_a^{a+\delta} \psi_j^2(u) du = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{x\in D} |\mathscr{L}_8(x)| = \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{T}} \max_{p=1..m} \left[\sup_{x\in I_1} \left|\sum_{j=0}^{J} Z_{j,p} \psi_j(x)\right|\right], \tag{62}$$

or, in other words, $\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_8(x)| = \sqrt{(b-a)/T}\cdot\max\{\zeta_1,...,\zeta_m\}$,where $\zeta_1,..\zeta_m$ are independent copies of the random variable

$$\zeta = \zeta^{J,m} = \sup_{x\in I_1}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{J} Z_j\psi_j(x)\right|$$

with i.i.d. standard normal r.v.'s $Z_j,\ j=0..J$.

11. Last step.

To complete the proof, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\eta_1,...,\eta_k$ be random variables such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{|\eta_{i+1}-\eta_i|\le\delta_i\Big\}\ge 1-\gamma_i,\quad i=1..(k-1),$$

for some positive $\delta_i,\gamma_i,\ i=1..k$. Denote by F_{η_k} the distribution function of η_k.

Then

$$F_{\eta_k}\left(x-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\delta_j\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\gamma_j\le F_{\eta_1}(x)\le F_{\eta_k}\left(x+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\delta_j\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\gamma_j. \tag{63}$$

Proof. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\eta_1}(x) &= \mathbb{P}\{\eta_1\le x\}\\ &= \mathbb{P}\{\eta_1\le x,\eta_2\le x+\delta_1\}+\mathbb{P}\{\eta_1\le x,\eta_2>x+\delta_1\}\\ &\le \mathbb{P}\{\eta_2\le x+\delta_1\}+\mathbb{P}\{|\eta_2-\eta_1|>\delta_1\}\\ &\le F_{\eta_2}(x+\delta_1)+\gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$F_{\eta_2}(x) \le F_{\eta_3}(x+\delta_2)+\gamma_2,$$

and therefore

$$F_{\eta_1}(x) \le F_{\eta_3}(x+\delta_1+\delta_2)+\gamma_1+\gamma_2.$$

Continuing in this way, we obtain the right hand side of (63). As for the left side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\eta_2}(x-\delta_1) &= \mathbb{P}\{\eta_2\le x-\delta_1,\eta_1\le x\}+\mathbb{P}\{\eta_2\le x-\delta_1,\eta_1>x\}\\ &\le \mathbb{P}\{\eta_1\le x\}+\mathbb{P}\{|\eta_2-\eta_1|>\delta_1\}\\ &\le F_{\eta_1}(x)+\gamma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$F_{\eta_2}(x-\delta_1)-\gamma_1\le F_{\eta_1}(x).$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\eta_3}(x-\delta_1-\delta_2) &\leq F_{\eta_2}(x-\delta_1)+\gamma_2 \\ &\leq F_{\eta_1}(x)+\gamma_1+\gamma_2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$F_{\eta_3}(x-\delta_1-\delta_2)-\gamma_1-\gamma_2 \leq F_{\eta_1}(x).$$

Continuing in this way, we get the left hand side of (63). □

Returning to the proof of Proposition 3.1, we apply Lemma A.1 with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k &:= \sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\,|\mathscr{L}_k(x)|\right\}, \quad k=1..7, \\ \eta_8 &:= \sqrt{\frac{b-a}{T}}\max_{p=1..m}\zeta_p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $k=2,..,7$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_k-\eta_{k-1}| &\leq \sup_{x\in D}\left|\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\,|\mathscr{L}_k(x)|-\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\,|\mathscr{L}_{k-1}(x)|\right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\cdot\Big|\mathscr{L}_k(x)-\mathscr{L}_{k-1}(x)\Big|\right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\right\}\cdot\sup_{x\in D}\Big|\mathscr{L}_k(x)-\mathscr{L}_{k-1}(x)\Big|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_8-\eta_7| &= \left|\sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\,|\mathscr{L}_7(x)|\right\}-\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{T}}\max_{p=1..m}\zeta_p\right| \\ &= \left|\sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\,|\mathscr{L}_7(x)|\right\}-\sup_{x\in D}|\mathscr{L}_8(x)|\right| \\ &\leq \left|\sup_{x\in D}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{s(x)}}\mathscr{L}_7(x)\right\}-\mathscr{L}_8(x)\right|, \end{aligned}$$

where we apply (62) in the second equality. Using the results obtaining on the previous steps of the proof (and changing for simplicity the indexes for

constants), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 &= C_1 \frac{m \log n}{T}, & \gamma_1 &= K/n^{\lambda}, \\
\delta_3 &= \Big(C_2 \frac{Tm}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{C_3}{\sqrt{n}} \Big) q_n^{(1)}, & \gamma_3 &= 2(1 - \Phi(q_n^{(1)})), \\
\delta_5 &= C_4 \frac{m}{\sqrt{T}} \sqrt{\log\Big(\frac{n}{T}\Big)}, & \gamma_5 &= C_5 \sqrt{T/n}, \\
\delta_7 &= C_6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} q_n^{(2)}, & \gamma_7 &= 4(1 - \Phi(q_n^{(2)}/\sqrt{b})),
\end{aligned}$$

where the sequences $q_n^{(1)}, q_n^{(2)}$ are tending to ∞ as $n \to \infty$ and will be chosen in the sequel, and all other δ's and γ's are equal to 0. Since for all positive x,

$$1 - \Phi(x) \le \frac{1}{x\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}, \tag{64}$$

see, e.g., p.2 in [19], we choose $q_n^{(1)} = \sqrt{2\lambda \ln n}$, and $q_n^{(2)} = \sqrt{2\lambda b \ln n}$, and get that $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i \lesssim n^{-\lambda}$ as $n \to \infty$. Next, we set $T = n^{\varkappa}$. Note that the condition $\varkappa < 1$ guarantees that $\Delta = T/n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_5 &= C \frac{m}{n^{\varkappa/2}} \sqrt{\log n}, \\
\delta_1 &= \frac{m \log n}{n^{\varkappa}} \cdot O(1) \lesssim \delta_5 \\
\delta_3 &= \frac{m\sqrt{\log n}}{n^{3/2-\varkappa}} \cdot O(1) + \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{n^{1/2}} \cdot O(1) \lesssim \delta_5, \\
\delta_7 &= \frac{m\sqrt{\log n}}{n^{\varkappa/2}} \cdot O(1) \lesssim \delta_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma A.1, we arrive at the desired result.

A.2. Proof of Corollary 3.4

1. For the cases of trigonometric basis and Legendre polynomials, we use the following result, which is given in the Russian edition of the book [23] (1988, Corollary 6.4, page 74):

Proposition A.2. *Let $X(t)$, $t \in [0,T]^n$, be Gaussian field in R^n with continuous trajectories. Suppose that*

(i) *for some $m, \sigma^2 < \infty$*

$$|\mathbb{E}X(t)| \le m, \qquad VarX(t) \le \sigma^2;$$

(ii) *the global Hölder condition holds, that is for some $C, \gamma > 0$,*

$$d_X^2(t,s) = \mathbb{E}(X(t) - X(s))^2 \le C \sum_{i=1}^{n} |t_i - s_i|^{\gamma}, \qquad \forall t, s;$$

(iii) *the correlation function $\rho(t,s)$ is separated from -1, that is*

$$\rho(t,s) > -1+\delta$$

for some positive δ.

Then there exist $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{P}\{|X(t)|>u\} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{P}\{X(t)>u\} + \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{P}\{-X(t)>u\} + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(u-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}(1+\rho)\right)\right), \qquad u\to+\infty.$$

In particular, if additionally the process $X(t), t\in[0,T]$ has zero mean, then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{P}\{|X(t)|>u\} = 2\cdot \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{P}\{X(t)>u\} + O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}(1+\rho)\right)\right).$$

2. The process

$$X(t) = \widetilde{\Upsilon}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\left\{ Z_0 + \sqrt{2}\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{J-1}{2}} \left[Z_j\cos(jt) + \widetilde{Z}_j \sin(jt)\right]\right\}$$

has zero mean and unit variance, therefore the condition (i) is fulfilled. Next, we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(X(t)-X(s)\right)^2 = \frac{2}{J}\cdot\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_j Z_j\left(\cos(js)-\cos(jt)\right) + \sum_j \tilde{Z}_j\left(\sin(js)-\sin(jt)\right)\right]^2,$$

and therefore the global Hölder condition (ii) holds because

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(X(t)-X(s)\right)^2 &= \frac{8}{J}\left(\sum_j\left(1-\cos(j(t-s))\right)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{32}{J}\left(\sum_j\left(\sin^2\left(\frac{j(t-s)}{2}\right)\right)\right)^2 \\ &\le \frac{2}{J}\left(\sum_j j^2\right)^2 (t-s)^4.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the covariance and the correlation functions are equal to

$$\rho(t) = r(t) = \frac{1}{J}\left\{1+2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{J-1}{2}}\cos(jt)\right\}. \tag{65}$$

Clearly,

$$\rho(t) \geq \frac{1}{J}\left\{1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{J-1}{2}}(-1)\right\} = \frac{2}{J} - 1 > -1 + \frac{1}{J} \tag{66}$$

so the condition (iii) is also satisfied. Therefore, we can apply Proposition A.2 in the case of trigonometric basis.

3. Let us check the conditions (i) - (iii) for the case of Legendre polynomials, that is, for the Gaussian process

$$\breve{\Upsilon}^{J,m}(x) = \breve{\Upsilon}(x) \triangleq c\sum_{j=0}^{J}\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2}}P_j(x)Z_j \quad x \in [-1,1],$$

where by P_j we denote the orthogonal polynomials defined by (38). The condition (i) is trivial because the process $\breve{\Upsilon}(x)$ has zero mean and bounded variance given by (49). The condition (ii) was already checked in Section 5.4. To show that the third condition holds, we consider the correlation function

$$\rho(x,y) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j(x)P_j(y)}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(x)\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{J}(2j+1)\,P_j^2(y)\right)^{1/2}}.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sequences $\vec{a} = \left(P_0(x), \sqrt{3}P_1(x), \sqrt{5}P_1(x), ...\right)$ and $\vec{b} = \left(P_0(y), \sqrt{3}P_1(y), \sqrt{5}P_1(y), ...\right)$, we immediately get that $\rho(x,y) = -1$ if and only if $\vec{a} = c\vec{b}$ for some negative c. Since $P_0(x) = P_0(y)$, we conclude that $\rho(x,y) > -1$ for any (x,y) from the compact set $[0,1]^2$. Therefore, the condition (iii) is fulfilled with some δ.

4. In the case of wavelets, we are not able to use Theorem A.2, because the trajectories of the process are discontinuous, but can arrive at desired result using some straightforward calculations. In fact, similar to Section 6, we get that

$$\zeta = 2^{l/2}\cdot\left(|Z_0| + |Z_1|\right).$$

Hence the density function of $\zeta/2^{l/2}$ is equal to

$$p_{|Z_0|+|Z_1|}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-x^2/4}\int_{-x}^{x}e^{-u^2/4}du,$$

we get that as $u \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\zeta > u\right\} &\asymp \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_u^\infty e^{-u^2/2}du = 4\left(1 - \Phi(u/\sqrt{2})\right)\\ &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}x}e^{-x^2/2}\left(1 - \frac{2}{x^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (23). Comparing the last expression with (56), we arrive at desired result.

A.3. Proof of Theorem 3.5

From (10) we get that for any u,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\mathscr{Z}_n \le u\right\} \le \left(\breve{F}\left(\sqrt{m}\,u + c_1\Lambda_n\right)\right)^m + c_2 n^{-\lambda}.$$

From (16), it follows that for $u \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$,

$$1 - \breve{F}\left(\sqrt{m}u + c_1\Lambda_n\right) \asymp 2\frac{h_1}{u^k}\exp\left\{-h_2\,u^2\right\} \to 0, \qquad u \to \infty.$$

Therefore, substituting $u = \tilde{u}_m = u_m - c_1\Lambda_n m^{-1/2}$,

$$\left(\breve{F}\left(\sqrt{m}\,\tilde{u}_m + c_1\Lambda_n\right)\right)^m = e^{m\cdot\ln(1-(1-\breve{F}(\sqrt{m}u_m))} = e^{W_m}e^{o(W_m)}, \quad m \to \infty,$$

where $W_m := -m\cdot\mathbb{P}\left\{\zeta^{J,m} \ge \sqrt{m}u_m\right\}$. Applying (16) once more, we conclude

$$W_m = -2\frac{h_1\,m}{u_m^k}\exp\left\{-h_2 u_m^2\right\}\left(1 + \breve{\tau}\left(u_m\right)\right).$$

Since $a_m \to \infty, b_m/c_m \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$, we get

$$W_m \;=\; -2\frac{h_1\,m}{b_m^k}\exp\left\{-h_2\cdot\left(2y\frac{b_m}{a_m} + b_m^2 - 2c_m\right)\right\}\left(1 + R(m)\right),$$

because

$$\left(1 + \frac{y}{a_m b_m} - \frac{c_m}{b_m^2}\right)^{-k}\exp\left\{-h_2\left(\frac{y^2}{a_m^2} - 2y\frac{c_m}{a_m b_m} + \frac{c_m^2}{b_m^2}\right)\right\}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{k}{2h_2}\frac{\ln b_m}{b_m}\right)\left(1 - \frac{k^2}{4h_2}\left(\frac{\ln b_m}{b_m}\right)^2\right)\left(1 + o(1)\right)$$
$$= 1 - \frac{k}{4\sqrt{h_2}}\frac{\ln\ln m}{\sqrt{\ln m}}\left(1 + o(1)\right)$$

Finally, we get the following asympotics for W_m:

$$\begin{aligned} W_m &= -2\exp\left\{-2h_2 y\frac{b_m}{a_m}\right\}\cdot\frac{h_1\,m}{\exp\left\{h_2 b_m^2\right\}}\cdot\frac{\exp\left\{2h_2 c_m\right\}}{b_m^k}(1 + R(m)) \\ &= -2e^{-y}(1 + R(m)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the conclusion that for any $y \in I\!R$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le u_m - c_1\frac{\Lambda_n}{\sqrt{m}}\right\}$$
$$\le e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right) + c_2 n^{-\lambda}. \quad (67)$$

Note that we use the notation $R(m)$ for all functions which can be represented in the form (19) with possibly different functions $o(1)$. Hence $u_m = u_m(y)$ can be represented as $u_m(y) = y(2h_2b_m)^{-1} - c_m b_m^{-1} + b_m$,we get that

$$u_m(y) + c_1\frac{\Lambda_n}{n} = u_m\left(y + 2c_1h_2b_m\frac{\Lambda_n}{n}\right).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1h_2b_m\frac{\Lambda_n}{n} &= 2c_1h_2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_n^2}{n^2}\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda_n n^{\varkappa/2}}{\ln n}\right)} \\ &= 2c_1h_2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_n^2\ln\Lambda_n}{n^2} + \frac{\varkappa\Lambda_n^2\ln n}{2n^2} - \frac{\Lambda_n^2\ln\ln n}{n^2}} = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since (67) is fulfilled uniformly over the compact sets, we are able to apply this inequality with $y - 2c_1h_2b_m\Lambda_n/n$ instead of y. Finally, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le u_m\right\} \le e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right). \tag{68}$$

Analogously to (67) and (68), we derive from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le u_m + c_1\frac{\Lambda_n}{\sqrt{m}}\right\} \\ \ge e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right) - c_2n^{-\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x) - \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \le u_m\right\} \ge e^{-2e^{-y}}\left(1 + R(m)\right). \tag{70}$$

Joint consideration of (68) and (70) completes the proof.

A.4. Proof of Theorem 3.6

Consider the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x) &= \sum_{r=1}^{d}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_r(X_\Delta)\right) - \int_a^b \varphi_r(u)s(u)du\right]\varphi_r(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{J}\sum_{p=1}^{m}\left[\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{j,p}(X_\Delta)\right) - \int_{I_p}\varphi_{j,p}(u)s(u)du\right]\varphi_{j,p}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{j,p}(x) = \psi_j^m\left(x-\delta(p-1)\right) I\left\{x \in I_p\right\}, j = 0..J,\ p = 1..m$. Due to Lemma B.1 from [9],

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi_{j,p}(X_\Delta)\right) - \int_{I_p}\varphi_{j,p}(u)s(u)du\right| \leq \left(\left|\psi_j^m(a)\right| + \int_a^{a+\delta}\left|(\psi_j^m)'(u)\right|du\right) M_\Delta(I_p),$$

where

$$M_\Delta(A) = \sup_{y\in A}\left|\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{P}\left\{X_\Delta > y\right\} - \nu\left([y,+\infty)\right)\right|, \qquad A \subset I\!R.$$

Applying the small-time asymptotic result (2.2) from [9], which we also use in this paper (see (8)), we conclude that $M_\Delta(I_p) \leq M_\Delta([a,b]) \leq q\Delta$, and therefore

$$\sup_{x\in[a,b]}|\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x)| \leq qn^{\varkappa-1}\cdot\sum_{j=0}^{J}\left(|\psi_j^m(a)| + \int_a^{a+\delta}\left|(\psi_j^m)'(u)\right|du\right)\sup_{x\in[a,a+\delta]}\left|\psi_j^m(x)\right|.$$

Next, using the conditions (4), we arrive at

$$\sup_{x\in[a,b]}|\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x) - s(x)| \leq \breve{c}n^{\varkappa-1}m. \tag{71}$$

Since

$$\sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x)-s(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\hat{s}_n(x)-\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) + \sqrt{\frac{T}{m}}\sup_{x\in D}\left(\frac{|\mathbb{E}\hat{s}_n(x)-s(x)|}{\sqrt{s(x)}}\right) \leq u_m + \breve{c}\cdot n^{(3\varkappa/2)-1}m^{1/2}, \tag{72}$$

we arrive at the desired result.

References

[1] Adler, R. (2000). On excursion sets, tube formulas and maxima of random fields. *The Annals of Applied Probability.* **10** 1-74.

[2] Belomestny, D. (2011). Statistical inference for time-changed Lévy processes via composite characteristic function estimation. *The Annals of Statistics* **39** 2205-2242.

[3] Bickel, P. , and Rosenblatt, M. (1973). On some global measures of the deviations of density function estimates. *The Annals of Statistics* **1** 1071-1095.
[4] Chen, S. X. , Delaigle, A. , and Hall, P. (2010). Nonparametric estimation for a class of Lévy processes. *Journal of Econometrics* **157** 257-271.
[5] Comte, F. , and Genon-Catalot, V. (2010b). Nonparametric adaptive estimation for pure jump Lévy processes. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Probabilités et Statistiques* **46** 595-617.
[6] Comte, F. , and Genon-Catalot, V. (2013). Adaptive estimation for Lévy processes. Preprint Hal et Prépublication MAP5 2013-33.
[7] Debicki, K. , and Kisowski, P. (2009). A note on upper estimates for Pickands constants. *Statistics and probability letters* **78** 2046-2051.
[8] Figueroa-López, J. E. (2004). Nonparametric estimation of Lévy processes with a view towards mathematical finance PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology.
[9] Figueroa-López, J. E. (2011). Sieve-based confidence intervals and bands for Lévy densities. *Bernoulli* **17** 643-670.
[10] Figueroa-López, J. E. , and Houdré. C. (2006). An overview of a nonparametric estimation method for Lévy processes.
[11] Fisher, M. , and Nappo, G. (2010). On the moments of the modulus of continuity of Ito Processes. *Stochastic Processes and Applications* **28** 103-122.
[12] Gradshtein, I. and Ryzhik, I. (1996). *Table of integrals, Series and Products*. Academic Press.
[13] Gugushvili, S. (2012). Nonparametric inference for discretely sampled Lévy processes. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Probabilités et Statistiques* **48** 282-307.
[14] Hall, P. (1991). On convergences rates of suprema. *Probability theory and related fields* **89**.
[15] Komlós, J. , Major, P. , and Tusnády, G. (1975). An approximation of partial sums of independent rv's and the sample DF. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **32** 111-131.
[16] Konakov,V. , and Panov,V. (2014). Convergence rates of maximal deviation distribution for projection estimates of Lévy densities. arXiv:1411.4750v2.
[17] Konakov, V. , and Piterbarg, V. (1984). On the convergence rates of maximal deviation distribution for kernel regression estimates. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **15** 279-294.
[18] Marcus, M. B. , and Shepp, L. A. (1971). Sample behavior of Gaussian processes. In *Proceeding of the Sicth Berkley symposium Math. Statist. Probab.* **2** 423-442. University California Press, Berkeley.
[19] Michna, Z. (2009). Remarks on Pickands theorem. Arxiv: 0904.3832v1.
[20] Mörters, P. , and Peres, Y. (2008). Brownian motion. Available at http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/ peres/bmbook.pdf.
[21] Neumann, M. , and Reiss, M. (2009). Nonparametric estimation for

Lévy processes from low-frequency observations. *Bernoulli* **15** 223–248.

[22] Nickl, R. , and Reiss, M. (2012). A Donsker theorem for Lévy measures. *Journal of functional analysis* **253** 3306-3332.

[23] Piterbarg, V. I. (1996). *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*. AMS, Providence.

[24] Proskuryakov, I. V. (1966). *Exercises in linear algebra (in Russian)*. Nauka.

[25] Sato, K. (1999). *Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions.* Cambridge University Press.

[26] Suetin, P. (2005). *Classical orthogonal polynomials (in Russian)*. Fizmatlit.

[27] van Es, B. , Gugushvili, S. , and Spreij, P. (2007). A kernel type nonparametric density estimator for decompounding. *Bernoulli* **13** 672-694.

[28] Wörner, J. (2003). Variational sums and power variations: a unifying approach to model selection and estimation in semimartingale models. *Statistics and Decisions* **21** 47-68.